

DISCLAIMER: These guidelines were prepared by the Department of Surgical Education, Orlando Regional Medical Center. They are intended to serve as a general statement regarding appropriate patient care practices based upon the available medical literature and clinical expertise at the time of development. They should not be considered to be accepted protocol or policy, nor are intended to replace clinical judgment or dictate care of individual patients.

ACUTE ADULT BURN RESUSCITATION

SUMMARY

Acute major burns are serious life-threatening conditions. Burn shock is the intravascular volume depletion and resultant tissue and organ malperfusion that occurs after burns involving 20% total body surface area (TBSA) or more. The patient's optimal chance for survival and meaningful recovery depends upon appropriate airway management, fluid resuscitation, and timely burn care. This guideline provides a template for the initial resuscitation of patients with acute burn injury of greater than 20% TBSA.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- **Level 1**
 - None
- **Level 2**
 - Estimate initial fluid requirement at 2 mL/kg/% TBSA burn administered over the first 24 hours
 - Electrical burn injuries should be estimated at 4 mL/kg/% TBSA burn
 - Administer ½ of the calculated volume in the first 8 hours post-burn injury and the remaining ½ of the calculated volume in the following 16 hours
 - Titrate fluid resuscitation to maintain a urinary output of at least 30-50 mL/hr
 - Avoid the use of hypertonic saline
- **Level 3**
 - Assess patients 8- and 12-hours post-injury to identify the need for colloid rescue
 - If the patient has met their 24-hour fluid requirement at 8 hours (or 1.5x 24-hour requirement at 12 hours), initiate colloid rescue as follows (Figure 1):
 - 5% albumin (1/3 current fluid rate) + Lactated Ringer's (2/3 current fluid rate)
 - Do not initiate albumin prior to 8 hours
 - Avoid over sedation. Consider non-narcotic analgesics such as ketorolac, ibuprofen, or ketamine (see Ketamine for Analgesia guideline)
 - High-dose ascorbic acid infusion is no longer recommended as an adjunct to fluid resuscitation
 - **Fluid boluses should be given for hypotension only.**
 - Target the following resuscitation endpoints in the first 24 hours post-burn injury:
 - Monitor arterial lactate q 4 hours until < 2 mmol/L
 - Adjust fluid rate by 1/3 of current rate (up or down) each hour that target urine output is not achieved
 - Check daily creatinine kinase levels in electrical injury or rhabdomyolysis patients until < 2500 mcg/L
 - Monitor hemoglobin to ensure that it is not trending upward suggestive of hypovolemia

EVIDENCE DEFINITIONS

- **Class I:** Prospective randomized controlled trial.
- **Class II:** Prospective clinical study or retrospective analysis of reliable data. Includes observational, cohort, prevalence, or case control studies.
- **Class III:** Retrospective study. Includes database or registry reviews, large series of case reports, expert opinion.
- **Technology assessment:** A technology study which does not lend itself to classification in the above-mentioned format. Devices are evaluated in terms of their accuracy, reliability, therapeutic potential, or cost effectiveness.

LEVEL OF RECOMMENDATION DEFINITIONS

- **Level 1:** Convincingly justifiable based on available scientific information alone. Usually based on Class I data or strong Class II evidence if randomized testing is inappropriate. Conversely, low quality or contradictory Class I data may be insufficient to support a Level I recommendation.
- **Level 2:** Reasonably justifiable based on available scientific evidence and strongly supported by expert opinion. Usually supported by Class II data or a preponderance of Class III evidence.
- **Level 3:** Supported by available data, but scientific evidence is lacking. Generally supported by Class III data. Useful for educational purposes and in guiding future clinical research.

INTRODUCTION

Numerous formulas have been developed to guide fluid resuscitation for the burn-injured patient. Despite extensive research, no ideal formula has been identified and most seriously burned patients continue to be over-resuscitated. There is general agreement within the burn surgery community that both the Parkland and modified Brooke formulas have been most effective, although the majority of burn centers have abandoned the use of colloid therapy beginning with the second 24 hours of resuscitation as was originally recommended by these two formulas.

Due to the lack of evidence to support any specific formula as the gold standard, the American Burn Association (ABA) Consensus Panel has proposed the following “consensus” recommendations (1):

- Fluid resuscitation occurs during the first 72 hours after injury
- Fluid resuscitation should be initiated for burns greater than or equal to 20% TBSA
- Although no standardized regimen has been established by evidence, 2-4 ml of crystalloid per %TBSA burn per kg body weight over the first 24 hours is an appropriate guideline for initial resuscitation

The central goal is “preservation of vital organ function at the least physiologic cost and the least number of complications” (1). While strong, evidence-based recommendations for burn injury resuscitation do not exist, close monitoring of markers of both tissue perfusion (e.g. lactate and base deficit) and organ dysfunction (e.g. serum creatinine for acute renal injury and PaO₂/FiO₂ for acute lung injury) play a major role in directing any burn resuscitation efforts.

Parkland Formula	4 mL Lactated Ringer’s solution per % TBSA per kg body weight <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Administer ½ of the calculated volume in the first 8 hours post-burn injury• Administer remaining ½ of the calculated volume in the following 16 hours
Modified Brooke Formula	First 24 hours: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 2 mL Lactated Ringer’s solution per % TBSA per kg body weight• Administer ½ of the calculated volume in the first 8 hours post-burn injury• Administer remaining ½ of the calculated volume in the following 16 hours Second 24 hours: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 0.3-0.5 mL 5% albumin per % TBSA per kg body weight + D₅W to maintain urinary output
Consensus Formula	2-4 mL Lactated Ringer’s solution per % TBSA per kg body weight <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Administer ½ of the calculated volume in the first 8 hours post-burn injury• Administer remaining ½ of the calculated volume in the following 16 hours

LITERATURE REVIEW

Volume Repletion

There is no perfect method to monitor and ensure adequate tissue perfusion and volume resuscitation. However, several principles should be considered. Shock following burn injury is a combination of both hypovolemic and distributive shock. The emphasis should be on avoiding excessive fluid administration that can cause patients harm. There appears to be reluctance by clinicians to decrease fluid administration rates even when urinary output exceeds 1 ml/kg/hr. There are many complications associated with over-resuscitation including abdominal compartment syndrome, extremity compartment syndrome, and increased nosocomial infections. Patients receiving >250 ml/kg have significantly increased mortality compared to those who receive less than 250 ml/kg. This has become known as the “Ivy Index” (2). Contributing factors resulting in excessive fluid resuscitation include excessive opioid administration, inadequate monitoring, inaccurate estimation of the patient’s TBSA burn, and excessive fluid administration in the prehospital setting (3,4).

For the past several decades, crystalloid fluid resuscitation in the burn patient has been administered according to either the Parkland or modified Brooke formulas. Use of these formulas, however, places the patient at risk for over-resuscitation. In 2000, Pruitt coined the term “fluid creep” suggesting that many patients are being over-resuscitated resulting in secondary complications. Complications from over-

resuscitation are well documented in the literature and include increased risk for blood stream infection, pneumonia, multisystem organ failure, adult respiratory distress syndrome, abdominal compartment syndrome, and death (5).

Since the Parkland formula was developed, the narcotic dosages employed during resuscitation have increased significantly. These medications decrease normal catecholamine effects resulting in vasodilation. While it is essential to control pain, it is also important to not over-sedate patients (6). Nurse driven protocols and hourly communication between nursing staff and burn physicians have been shown to decrease fluid resuscitation volumes and lead to better patient outcomes (7).

There is no one clear parameter to guide the adequacy for fluid resuscitation. Many centers institute a multi-factorial approach looking at several different markers of resuscitation adequacy. These include urinary output (30-50 ml/hr) and serial lactate, base deficit, and/or creatinine kinase levels. The trend in these parameters over time helps ensure appropriate resuscitation and it is important to see that they are approaching normal values within the first 24 hours post-burn injury.

Use of colloids


Large randomized controlled trials examining the use of albumin are lacking. Early administration of albumin is generally felt to be inappropriate due to a potential contribution to edema because of increased capillary permeability. According to the American Burn Association (ABA), colloid-containing fluids can be considered 12-24 hours post-injury. However, studies exist supporting colloid use as early as 8 hours post-injury. In addition to timing, exact dose and appropriate patient selection remain up for debate (8). There remains a paucity of higher level and recent evidence endorsing the administration of albumin during initial burn resuscitation. Eljajek et al. performed a meta-analysis of four randomized studies that evaluated colloid resuscitation demonstrating a decrease in overall fluid requirements, but no reduction in mortality (9). Navickis et al. conducted a meta-analysis evaluating the effects of albumin in burn shock resuscitation on morbidity and mortality in 8 trials (4 randomized and 4 non-randomized). Albumin led to a non-significant reduction in mortality overall. However, following the exclusion of 2 trials which significantly increased overall heterogeneity of the total population due to unadjusted higher baseline mortality in the albumin groups, mortality was significantly lower in the albumin group. Furthermore, patients receiving albumin in the first 24 hours experienced significantly less incidence of compartment syndrome (8).

Cartotto et al. recommended "colloid rescue" for those patients who exceeded the Parkland formula calculation by more than 1.5 times or 6 ml/kg/%TBSA. Similar protocols were instituted at the University of Utah and by the U.S. military. One colloid rescue formula commonly utilized is 1/3 of the current fluid rate given as albumin + 2/3 of the current fluid rate given as Lactated Ringer's solution (10). This formula has been shown by multiple studies to decrease fluid requirements without any associated increase in mortality or renal failure (8-10).

Human albumin and fresh frozen plasma (FFP) are the most commonly selected and most investigated colloids. A 2010 ABA and International Society of Burn Injuries survey revealed that there was equal preference for either albumin or FFP (3).

Work has begun to identify the risk of transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI) for burn shock resuscitation involving colloid. Jones et al. performed a retrospective chart review for severely burned patients who underwent burn shock resuscitation with the West Penn or Slater Formula (11). FFP was initiated at 75 mL/kg body weight + 2 L Lactated Ringer's solution over 24 hours with titration of FFP to achieve urine output 0.5-1.0 mL/kg/hr for 48 hours or until the patient was completely resuscitated. Among 18 patients studied, one developed TRALI (5.5%). While the risk for development of TRALI exists, the actual occurrence is felt to be low and can be difficult to discern in patients with concomitant inhalation injury.

Figure 1: Colloid Rescue



Use of Vasopressors

Patients with severe burns may remain hypotensive and require vasoactive medications despite aggressive fluid resuscitation. However, their use is associated with significant negative outcomes and should only be used as a last resort. The reasons some burn patients require vasopressors are unclear. One study analyzed burn patients requiring vasopressors within the first 48 hours of fluid resuscitation (12). On average, those requiring vasopressors were older (55 vs. 42 years, $p=0.03$), had greater involvement of full-thickness burns (38% vs. 15% $p=0.006$), and had higher revised Baux scores (regression analysis of data including age, % TBSA, and inhalation injury). In this study, no significant risk was attributable to a comorbidity or home medication, except for those who took dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers (15% vs. 3.3%, $p=0.038$). Vasopressor use was associated with a 1.5 fold increase in Lactated Ringer's infusion requirement in the first 24 hours, a 2.5 fold greater mortality, and a dialysis rate of 10% vs. 0% (12).

Hypertonic Saline

In 1995, Huang et al. performed a trial comparing 65 patients resuscitated with hypertonic saline (290 mEq/L) vs. 148 patients resuscitated with Lactated Ringer's solution (13). Among patients resuscitated with hypertonic saline, mortality was significantly higher (54% vs. 27%, $p < 0.001$) as was the incidence of cardiovascular failure (59% vs. 39%, $p = 0.011$), pulmonary failure (68% vs. 38, $p < 0.001$), hepatic failure (69% vs. 36%, $p < 0.001$), and renal failure (40% vs. 10%, $p < 0.001$). 50% of patients who received hypertonic saline developed organ failure in three or more systems. Both groups were similar in age, total burn size, and incidence of inhalation injury. During the initial 24 hours of resuscitation, the patients receiving hypertonic saline required 1.25 mL/kg/%BSA less fluid than those receiving Lactated Ringer's ($p < 0.001$), but hypertonic saline did not reduce the total resuscitation volume required.

Renal Replacement Therapy (RRT)

RRT is used to treat severe acute kidney injury, acidosis, severe electrolyte abnormalities, intractable fluid overload, and/or complications of uremia. Continuous RRT is typically used in hemodynamically unstable patients who cannot tolerate rapid fluid shifts or require ongoing infusions during dialysis (i.e. large-volume fluid administration, vasopressor support, multiple IV medications, or total parenteral nutrition). There is one multicenter study evaluating RRT practice and outcomes for severe burn patients treated at United States burn centers (8 centers, 171 patients, four years). On average, treated patients had sustained burns involving $38 \pm 26\%$ TBSA and injury severity scores of 27 ± 21 . Most patients were treated with continuous venovenous hemofiltration at a mean delivered rate of 37 ± 19 (ml/kg/hour) and treatment lasted 13 ± 24 days. Most of the patients in the study were placed on RRT 'early' (without having met the above triggers for initiation). Overall, in-hospital mortality was 50% which is similar to other critically ill populations who are treated with RRT. 90% of the study patients who survived and were discharged from the hospital had recovered renal function without need for further RRT by 6 months post-discharge (14).

Ascorbic Acid

High-dose ascorbic acid (HDAA) administration during acute burn resuscitation gained popularity because of evidence suggesting that its use reduced fluid administration during the critical first 24 hours. Further studies have failed to corroborate this finding however. Moreover, HDAA use has not been shown to decrease ventilator days, decrease ventilator-associated pneumonia, or mortality, but is associated with an increased risk for acute renal failure and oxalate nephropathy (15-18). Further, HDAA infusions confound point of care glucose measurements interfering with hyperglycemic control. As a result, HDAA infusions are no longer recommended at this institution.

REFERENCES

1. Mosier M et al. Burn Resuscitation. American Burn Association Consensus Statements. 2013; 368-371
2. Chung KK, Wolf SE, Cancio LC, et al. Resuscitation of severely burned military casualties: fluid begets more fluid. J Trauma. 2009; 67(2):231-237.
3. Cartotto R, Greenhalgh BG, & Cancio C. Burn state of the science: Fluid resuscitation. J Burn Care Res 2017; 38(3), e596-e604.
4. Daryousch P, Kamolz L, et al. The potential impact of wrong TBSA estimations on fluid resuscitation in patients suffering from burns: Things to keep in mind. Burns 2013; 40, 241-245.
5. Saffle J. The Phenomenon of "Fluid Creep" in Acute Burn Resuscitation. J Burn Care Res 2007; 28(3), 382-395.
6. P FW, Dries DJ. Burn resuscitation. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2011; 19:69.
7. Pham TN, Cancio LC, Gibran NS, Association AB. American Burn Association practice guidelines burn shock resuscitation. J Burn Care Res 2008; 29(1):257-266.
8. Navickis RJ, Greenhalgh DG, Wilkes MM. Albumin in burn shock resuscitation: A meta-analysis of controlled clinical studies. J Burn Care Res 2016; 37(3):e268-e277.
9. Eljajaiek R, Heylbroeck C, Dubois MJ. Albumin administration for fluid resuscitation in burn patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Burns 2017; 43:17-24.
10. Cartotto R, Callum J. A review of the use of human albumin in burn patients. J Burn Care Res 2012; 33(6):702-717.

11. Jones LM, Deluga N., Bhatti P, Scrape SR., Bailey JK, Coffey RA. TRALI following fresh frozen plasma resuscitation from burn shock. *Burns* 2016; 43:397-402.
12. Pape KO et al.) Evaluation of Vasopressor Use During Acute Burn Fluid Resuscitation. *J Burn Care Res* 2019; 40(1):S86-S87.
13. Huang P, et al. Hypertonic Sodium Resuscitation is Associated with Renal Failure and Death. *Annals of Surgery* 1995; 221(5):543-557.
14. Chung K, et al. Renal Replacement Therapy in Severe Burns: A Multicenter Observational Study. *J Burn Care Res* 2018; 39(6):1017-1021.
15. Kahn S, Beers R, et al. Resuscitation after severe burn injury using high-dose ascorbic acid: a retrospective review. *J Burn Care Res* 2011; 32(1):110-117.
16. Lin et al. High-dose ascorbic acid for burn shock resuscitation may not improve outcomes. *J Burn Care Res* 2018; 39(5):708-712.
17. Buehner M, Pamplin J, Struder L. et al. Oxalate nephropathy after continuous infusion of high-dose vitamin C as an adjunct to burn resuscitation. *J Burn Care Res* 2016; 37(4):e374-e379.
18. Kahn S, Lentz C. Fictitious hyperglycemia: Point-of-care glucose measurement is inaccurate during high-dose vitamin C infusion for burn shock resuscitation. *J Burn Care Res* 2015; 36(2):e67-e71.

Surgical Critical Care Evidence-Based Medicine Guidelines Committee

Revision Author: Dominick Curry, PharmD, BCCCP
Previous Authors: Timothy Smith, MD, Susan Smith, PhD, ARNP
Editor: Michael L. Cheatham, MD, FACS, FCCM
Last revision date: November 26, 2019

Please direct any questions or concerns to: webmaster@surgicalcriticalcare.net